C.S. 1082 (1) (9)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 1680 /2004

UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

PETITIONER : S.R. Constructions Pvt. Limited through it's Managing Director S.P. Singh, Singh, aged about 52 years, r/o Gayatri Mandir Road Vinoba Nagar ,Bilaspur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 1660/04 Presented by Shri N.L. Sahu 21-6-04

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board, through Chairman Cum Managing Director, Project Road No. 2 , Dagania Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY 21/6/04 Clerk to A.G. Bilaspur

2. Executive Director (Civil), Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board, Project Road No. 2 , Dagania, Raipur (C.G.)

1. **PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER** : As detailed in the cause title of the petition.

2. **PARTICULARS OF THE RESPONDENTS** : As detailed in the cause title of the petition.

XI-HC-22

(25)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

W.P. No. 1680/2004

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

6/7/2004

Shri Alok Bakshi, counsel for the petitioner.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a construction company, it has constructed staff quarters for the staff members of the respondents, however, there was some delay in supply of the material on account of which the staff quarters could not be completed in time; hence the petitioner/company made a demand for payment as per the enhanced rates. A meeting was held in this regard between the petitioner and Respondent No.2 and it was informed to the petitioner that the proposal for payment as per enhanced rate was sent for approval to the higher authorities, but the respondents are not deciding the same which compelled the petitioner to make representation and legal notice has also been sent. Even then the respondents have not yet decided the claim of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to the concerned authority of the Respondent No.1 and the concerned authority may be directed to decide the representation in an objective manner within some prescribed period.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation clearly raising his grievance within a period of 10 days from today to the concerned authority of Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board (Respondent No.1). On such representation

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(26)

आदेश पत्रक

W.P. No. 1680/2004

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित — 2 —	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

being made the concerned authority shall decide the same in an objective manner by passing a reasoned order considering all the points raised by the petitioner within a period of 30 days from the date of the receipt of the representation.

With the above observations this petition stands disposed of finally.

In view of the above order I.A. No. 4028/2004 stands disposed of.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
L.C.BHADOO
Judge

Thakur

[पीछे देखिये